Criminalizing Tradition or Protecting Women? A Critical Analysis & Enforcement of Dowry Prohibition Law in India in 2025

Shruti Singh, BBA LLB(Hons.), K.R. Mangalam University, Sohna, Gurugram, Haryana

Department of School of Legal Studies, Faculty of Law

KEYWORDS

Law
Gender Justice
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita(BNS)
Judiciary Response
Dowry Deaths
Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961
Socio-Legal approach to Dowry
Section 118 BNS
Patriarchy and Law

ABSTRACT

Despite over six decades since the enactment of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, dowry still exists in our country, and it not only exists but normalized and mainly socially accepted in many parts of India. In this research, we not only focus on the law protecting women from dowry crimes but also examine the deeply embedded criminalized dowry culture tradition. We are again discussing this topic because it still exists even in 2025, after 78 years of Happy Independence. These rising cases of bride burning, dowry harassment, abetment to suicide, and domestic violence are going with raising concerns about the misuse of anti-dowry laws. The research paper examines the legal and social dimensions of dowry prohibition, focusing on the effectiveness of the Dowry Prohibition Act,1961, and Section 118 of Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam. But still, after having so many protection laws, we face dowry prohibitions, a lack of awareness, and weak enforcement.

However, this research paper examines a reformative, multi-pronged approach that includes legal clarity, gender-sensitive enforcement, and social awareness rather than only relying on punitive laws. This is high time we engage with current challenges, the study which aims at a deeper understanding of dowry crimes and moral debate: Are we criminalizing tradition or protecting women without transforming society?

1. Introduction

The custom of dowry, although officially banned, still represents a concerning issue within Indian society. Initially based in social traditions, it has transformed into a means of coercion that frequently results in the physical, emotional, and financial mistreatment of women. Dowry is not just a transaction of money; it has become a symbol of a woman's identity in the patriarchal society we live in. In spite of having various laws like the Dowry Prohibition Act in 1961, along with additional legal measures under Section 118 of BNS and the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act of 2005. In which crimes associated with dowry, such as harassment, assault, and even fatalities, are still being reported at an alarming rate. This imbalance between law and current situation displays the critical examination, which helps us to go deeper into the efficacy of criminal law in addressing cultural disparities between the legal framework and the actual situation of criminal law in addressing culturally embedded gender injustices.

Is the law truly aiming to recognize a woman as an individual with her own identity, especially within her marital home, even if she or her family does not give money or material goods as dowry? Will she still be respected as a human being, or is the law merely focused on ensuring that she is not subjected to violence or cruelty due to dowry?

If the woman's family is willing to give something voluntarily, without coercion, should that still be seen as a dowry? The problem arises not from voluntary gifts, but when there is pressure, demand, harassment, or violence due to dowry. The law appears to be targeting the abuse, coercion, and exploitation associated with dowry demands, not necessarily the entire concept of gift-giving in marriage.

Therefore, the intent of the legislation seems to be not to criminalize voluntary offerings given without expectation or demand, but to prevent situations where women are physically, emotionally, or economically abused because of dowry-related expectations.

Satbir Singh v. State of Haryana (2021) 6 SCC 1
The Supreme Court ruled that "soon before death" under Section 304B IPC must be interpreted flexibly. Direct dowry demand isn't mandatory; any cruelty or harassment linked to dowry near the time of death is sufficient.
Rajesh Sharma v. State of U.P. (2017) 8 SCC 821
The Court observed misuse of Section 498A IPC and directed the formation of Family Welfare Committees to scrutinize complaints before FIRs, aiming to prevent harassment of innocent family members in false dowry cases.

The law aims to prohibit unlawful demand and cruelty, rather than condemn every instance of dowry money, whether it is given willingly or not, during marriage.

"Don't you think marriage should be an emotional and joyful occasion for a girl's parents — a moment of pride that their daughter is settling into a new phase of life, rather than a burden where they worry about arranging money and dowry to 'marry her off'?"

The primary objective of this legal research paper is to critically explore the legal framework governing dowry prohibition in India, with specific reference to its evolution, implementation, and the prevailing challenges. This study seeks to analyze the disparity between the law as enacted and its actual practice, identifying core issues such as ineffective enforcement mechanisms, lack of public awareness, and the deeply entrenched patriarchal norms that continue to hinder women not only from earning a livelihood but from living with dignity and respect.

As famously observed by Justice Krishna Iyer, "The dowry system, a cancer in society,

persists not merely due to legal inadequacies, but due to societal complicity." This paper will engage with such perspectives to underline the societal resistance to gender equality, particularly through the lens of dowry-related laws.

Furthermore, the research will examine the inherent duality of legal instruments by addressing the misuse, overuse, and underuse of dowry laws, issues that not only undermine the legal significance of these statutes but also make the problem socially and ethically complex. This dual nature necessitates a nuanced approach that balances protection against dowry harassment with safeguards against frivolous or retaliatory litigation.

In conclusion, this legal research addresses a core dilemma: whether the existing legal provisions are effectively safeguarding women or if they are being misappropriated as instruments to undermine cultural traditions, without offering substantive relief or restorative justice to actual victims. Addressing this question is essential not only for a deeper jurisprudential understanding of the issue but also for fostering a unified, intersectional response to injustice that transcends gender binaries and upholds the true spirit of equity and the rule of law.

2. Research Methodology

2.1 Research Design

This research adopts a qualitative doctrinal legal research design, combined with empirical analysis, to critically examine the evolution, enforcement, and contemporary implications of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, and its allied provisions under the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, such as Sections 80, 85, and 86.. The core objective is to investigate whether current legal frameworks are genuinely protecting women or merely criminalizing socio-cultural traditions without delivering substantial support to victims.

2.2 Research Questions

- Is the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, effectively implemented in contemporary India?
- To what extent do current enforcement mechanisms succeed or fail in providing justice to victims?
- Are legal measures being misused or weaponized, leading to wrongful criminalization?
- What are the socio-legal implications of dowry-related crimes in the Indian context as of 2025?
- Are dowry-related crimes mentally silencing women, and is our legal-social system truly empowering them, or deepening their silence?

2.3 Objectives of the Study

- To evaluate the effectiveness of the Dowry Prohibition Law in India.
- To explore why dowry is seen as inseparable from a

Kans Raj v. State of Punjab (2000) 5 SCC 207
The Supreme Court held that when a bride dies unnaturally within 7 years of marriage and dowry demands are proven, the presumption of dowry death under Section 113B of the Indian Evidence Act applies strongly.
State of Punjab v. Iqbal Singh (1991) 3 SCC 1
The Court stated that cruelty related to dowry demand need not be continuous; even isolated but serious incidents can bring the case under Section 498A and 304B IPC.

- girl's identity, and its continued relevance in modern marriage practices..
- To understand the current scenario of dowry practices through the interpretation of official crime statistics and reported cases.
- To propose reforms for addressing the root causes of dowry practices.

2.4 Sources of Data

(A) Primary Sourc Statutes:

- Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961
- Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (Sec. 80,85,86 and 118)

(B) Case Laws: Landmark and recent judgments from the Supreme Court of India and various High Courts till the year 2025, such as:

- Satbir Singh v. State of Haryana (2021)
- Rajesh Sharma v. State of U.P. (2017)
- Preeti Gupta v. State of Jharkhand (2010)
- Any recent 2023–2025 judgments available via SCC Online, Manupatra, etc.

(C) Secondary Sources

- Scholarly articles, journals, and academic commentaries
- Government reports (e.g., NCRB – National Crime Records Bureau data from 2015–2024)
- Law Commission reports, Parliamentary debates
- NGO publications and news editorials covering ground realities

2.5 Data Collection and Analysis Method

The method used is a Doctrinal and Socio-Legal Analytical Method:

- Doctrinal analysis is used to study legislation and case law to understand how courts have interpreted and enforced the law.
- Socio-legal analysis includes the interpretation of NCRB data, evaluating conviction/acquittal rates in dowry cases, misuse claims, and victim support mechanisms.
- Critical interpretation of legal provisions will be complemented by content analysis of judgments and statistical interpretation of dowry-related crime trends in India from 2015–2024.

This dual method ensures a comprehensive approach, understanding both the black letter law and its practical implications in society.

2.6 Rationale for Method Selection

The doctrinal and socio-legal method is best suited to this research because:

- It allows for a systematic analysis of statutes and judicial trends, which is essential to answer whether laws are being implemented effectively.
- It provides a real-world contextual understanding by analyzing crime data and social commentary.
- It bridges the gap between law in books and law in action, which is crucial when examining a deeply entrenched social issue like dowry.

2.7 Significance of the Study

Dowry, as a cultural practice, continues to cause gender-based violence, psychological trauma, and even deaths. Legal prohibition alone cannot suffice unless root causes such as patriarchal norms, economic dependency, and lack of awareness are addressed. This research is vital to expose the implementation gap, identify loopholes in enforcement, and recommend reforms that are gender-just, inclusive, and socially sensitive.

By combining legal interpretation with social reality, the study aspires to not only critique but also contribute meaningfully to the discourse around dowry eradication.

Shanti v. State of Haryana (1991) 1 SCC 371
The Court upheld a conviction under Section 304B IPC, stating that "soon before her death" must be interpreted to mean proximity, not immediacy. Continuous harassment for dowry leading to suicide was sufficient for conviction
State of Andhra Pradesh v. Raj Gopal Asawa (2004) 4 SCC 470
The Supreme Court reaffirmed that when a woman dies unnaturally within 7 years of marriage and dowry harassment is proven, presumption under Section 113B of the Evidence Act automatically applies unless rebutted by the accused.

3. Establishing Context of the Tradition: Origins and Evolution of Dowry

Dowry was initially started as a voluntary gift given to the bride by her family, in various forms such as valuables, property, or cash. It basically provided as the independence or for the use of bride after marriage. However, with time, this social custom was distorted into a demand-driven transaction between groom's family and bride that burdens women and their families. The historical shift from a system of **"Stridhan"** (women's wealth) to coercive dowry demands reflects patriarchal control over women. Despite legal framework and protection rights for women, it still practices as legal custom and given tag as **gifts** or **customary expectations**.

4. Legal Framework: Statutes and Safeguards for Protection Of Women in India

The legislative response to dowry is rooted in multiple statutes:

(A) Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 (Amended in 1984, 1986):

It prohibits giving, taking, or demanding dowry, penalizing offenders with imprisonment of minimum 5 years and fines. Also mandates the return of dowry to the bride within a stipulated time by the court.

(B) Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023

- Section 498A of IPC replaces with Section 85 of BNS, 2023

Deals with cruelty by husband or relatives of husband. And it will punishes any type of willful conduct or cruelty by the husband or his relatives towards a woman, like grave injury, suicide, or harassment for dowry.

- Section 304B of IPC replaces with Section 107 of BNS, 2023

Deals with Dowry Death. Means if a women dies under unnatural or suspicious circumstances within 7 years of marriage, and there's evidence of dowry-related harassment or cruelty, the husband or in-laws may be held responsible for dowry death.

- Section 113B of Indian Evidence Act replaces with Section 119 of Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, 2023

Deals with Presumption as to dowry death. If it is shown that the woman was subjected to cruelty or harassment soon before her death, the court shall presume that the accused caused the dowry death, unless proven otherwise.

- Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005:

Provides civil remedies for domestic abuse, including economic abuse related to dowry.

5. The Enforcement Gap between Law and Practice

While India has comprehensive anti-dowry laws, implementation remains deeply flawed, primarily due to various reasons as mentioned below:

- Underreporting and Fear: Social stigma, victim-blaming, family pressure, and fear of retaliation discourage women from filing complaints.
- Police and Judicial Apathy: Many officials treat dowry cases as "private matters," discouraging FIRs or trivializing the victim's account.
- Burden of Proof: Prosecuting dowry-related crimes is challenging due to lack of direct evidence and hostile witnesses, especially within family settings.
- Delayed Justice: Prolonged trials and poor conviction rates erode faith in the system. According to NCRB 2024, the conviction rate for dowry death cases was just 35.1%.
- Misuse Debate: Certain judgments, such as *Arnesh Kumar v. State of Bihar (2014),* have led to stricter conditions for arrests under Section 498A due to alleged misuse. While data does not support widespread misuse, this perception has diluted the law's protective intent.

6. 2025 Perspective: Has the Law Evolved with Time?

In 2025, the Indian legal landscape continues to deal with backward mindset in social relevance of dowry a

the complex challenges of enforcing anti-dowry laws. The legal system now faces increasing pressure to reconcile two deeply contrasting narratives whether the need for legal protection of women versus the criticism of over-criminalization of both of which reflect the evolving mindsets and lived realities of Indian society today.

(A) The Narrative of Protection: Legal Safeguards as a Lifeline

For a significant section of society particularly women's rights groups, survivors, and grassroots organizations the criminalization of dowry-related violence remains essential. In many Indian households, especially in semi-urban and rural areas, dowry is still a normalized expectation, often concealed under the term "gifts" or "customary support."

In such contexts, legal provisions act as the only deterrent mechanism against coercion, harassment, and violence. Survivors who speak out often do so at great personal cost—facing family backlash, social stigma, and even homelessness. Without legal consequences, the deep-seated patriarchal notion that women are financial burdens would go unchallenged. The law, in this view, is not just punitive—it is symbolic, empowering women to assert their rights in marriage and beyond.

Additionally, in 2025, public outrage following high-profile dowry deaths and viral social media campaigns has renewed demand for stricter enforcement, victim protection, and accountability, especially against complicit families and local authorities who often suppress such cases.

(B) The Narrative of Over-Criminalization: Misuse, Mistrust, and Structural Blind Spots

On the other hand, a growing section of the public especially in urban, middle-class, and professional circles expresses concerns about the misuse of dowry-related provisions. Some claim that sections like Section 85 (formerly 498A) are being invoked in cases involving marital disputes unrelated to dowry, resulting in criminal proceedings, arrests, and reputational damage based on allegations that may later be withdrawn or proven false.

This perception has led to calls for more balanced mechanisms, such as pre-investigation counseling, mediation, and time-bound inquiries, especially when the complaint lacks immediate evidence of cruelty or harassment. Courts, too, have increasingly emphasized procedural safeguards to prevent misuse of the law while trying not to dilute its protective spirit.

Moreover, critics argue that criminalization alone has failed to address the root causes of dowry culture, such as:

- Women's lack of economic independence
- Societal obsession with "marriage as settlement"
- Patrilocal family structures where the bride's autonomy is limited
- Poor legal literacy among women, especially in rural belts

.

7. Why Law Alone Is Insufficient in 2025

Despite the criminalization of dowry, dowry acts, social approval and silence within families often override legal redress. Women are discouraged from filing complaints due to:

- Pressure to "adjust" or save the marriage
- Fear of retaliation or ostracism
- Economic dependency on the husband's family
- Lack of support from their natal families or communities

Many parents also give dowry because they don't want it to appear as if the bride's family is so poor that they can't afford to contribute financially, or that they're sending their daughter empty-handed. They do it to satisfy their ego and to present themselves as socially and financially respectable.This generational complicity makes enforcement difficult, especially when the supposed "victims" (brides or their families) are unwilling to testify or challenge the system.

(A) **What Cultural Shift Actually Requires in 2025?**

In today's context, real change requires shifting mindsets, not just applying laws. The following interventions are critical—but must be adapted to India's current socio-economic realities:

Social Campaigns That Go Beyond Slogans

Awareness programs must move beyond symbolic gestures. Campaigns need to:

- Use local languages and community influencers (religious leaders, teachers, local celebrities)
- Highlight real-life survivor stories
- Engage men and boys in conversations around dowry, honor, and marriage roles

Rajesh Sharma v. State of UP (2017): Introduced a Family Welfare Committee to vet dowry complaints, later diluted due to concerns over obstruction of justice.
Satbir Singh v. State of Haryana (2021): Reasserted the importance of reading cruelty in context and recognizing sustained harassment, not just single acts.
Arnesh Kumar v. State of Bihar (2014): Limited automatic arrests under 498A to prevent misuse, though it weakened immediate protection for victims.

(B) **Economic Empowerment Rooted in Access, Not Just Policy**

Women's financial independence is key, but in 2025, challenges persist:

- Women still have limited control over property and inheritance, especially in rural and joint-family setups.
- Despite digital banking, many women lack autonomy over their earnings.
- Self-help groups (SHGs), microfinance schemes, and local cooperatives must be better integrated with legal literacy and legal aid to help women break out of dependency cycles.

(C) **Gender Equality Through Practical Educational Reforms**

While textbooks may now include gender equality modules, schools still reinforce patriarchal values through informal practices. To be effective:

- Teachers must be trained in gender sensitivity.
- Gender equality must be promoted not only in content, but also in school policies, sports participation, leadership roles, and parent-teacher engagement.
- Vocational and life skills education must be integrated to prepare girls for financial and emotional independence.

(D) **Civil Remedies That Don't Sacrifice Safety**

While criminal action is necessary in cases of violence or coercion, civil mechanisms can be more effective in early-stage disputes. However, they must be:

- Survivor-centered, not family-centered
- Conducted by trained counselors or legal aid personnel, not just local panchayats
- Accompanied by protection orders, shelter options, and mentalhealth

---

Kaliyaperumal v. State of Tamil Nadu (2004)
Clarified that even post-marriage demands are considered dowry if linked to the marriage.

State of Punjab v. Iqbal Singh (1991)
Upheld conviction for dowry death based on proof of consistent harassment before unnatural death.

8. Case Studies

Below are the recent case studies on Dowry Prohibition in India which states that dowry is still practising miserabily in India :

Case Study 1: The Ananya Sharma Case (2024) – Urban Dowry Death and Social Media Outcry

(A) Background

Ananya Sharma, a 28-year-old software engineer from Bengaluru, was found dead in her in-laws' home under suspicious circumstances 18 months into her marriage. She had previously shared messages with friends describing mental harassment and escalating financial demands post-marriage. Despite being financially independent, she was pressured to fund the purchase of a luxury car and contribute to her husband's startup.

(E) Legal Action

Her family filed a complaint under:

- Section 85, BNS (Cruelty by husband/relatives)
- Section 107, BNS (Dowry Death)
- Section 119, Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam (Presumption of dowry death)

Police initially hesitated to arrest due to the Arnesh Kumar guidelines, citing insufficient evidence. However, after her private messages went viral on social media (#JusticeForAnanya), the case received national attention. Eventually, the husband and mother-in-law were arrested.

(F) Legal Issues Raised

- Delay in FIR registration due to concerns of misuse
- Reliance on digital evidence (chats, emails)
- Media pressure influencing police action
- Questions around proving post-marital demands as dowry

(G) Outcome (as of 2025)

The case is under trial. It sparked debate about updating legal procedures to account for modern forms of evidence in dowry harassment cases.

Case Study 2: The Priya Yadav Case (2023) – False Accusation or Misused Law?

(A) Background

Priya Yadav filed a dowry harassment case against her husband and in-laws in Uttar Pradesh, alleging sustained abuse over two years of marriage. However, during trial, multiple inconsistencies in her statements and lack of material evidence led to doubts about the veracity of her claims.

(B) Legal Action

Case filed under Dowry Prohibition Act**,** Section 85, BNS

- The husband was arrested and spent two months in jail before being released on bail.

(C) Court Findings

The court acquitted the accused, noting:

- No proof of direct dowry demand
- Evidence of ongoing disputes over child custody and alimony
- Priya's contradictory testimonies under cross-examination

(D) Legal Issues Raised

- Misuse narrative vs. genuine protection
- Role of mediation in matrimonial disputes
- Psychological and reputational impact on falsely accused individuals

(E) Outcome

The court called for stricter preliminary inquiry before arrests but acknowledged that fear of misuse should not overshadow the purpose of the law. It led to district-level advocacy for Family Counseling Centers before formal FIRs in non-urgent cases.

Vidhya Devi v. State of Haryana (2004)
Held that failure to return dowry articles amounts to cruelty and criminal breach of trust.
Justice R. Banumathi:
"Courts must be conscious of the silent suffering of women trapped between tradition and law."

Case Study 3: The Sunita Devi Case (2025) – Rural Injustice and Delayed Enforcement

(A) Background

Sunita Devi, a 19-year-old from a Scheduled Caste background in Bihar, was married to a man from a nearby village. Within a year, she was routinely beaten and starved for not bringing enough "gifts" as promised. Her in-laws demanded a motorcycle and ₹2 lakhs in cash. When her parents failed to meet the demand, she was found dead by hanging.

(B) Legal Action

- FIR filed under Section 107, BNS (Dowry death)
- Caste discrimination allegations under SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act

(C) Challenges Faced

- Police delayed filing FIR; local panchayat initially tried to mediate privately
- Witnesses turned hostile due to community pressure
- The autopsy was poorly conducted; no signs of struggle were officially noted

(D) Legal Issues Raised

- Intersection of dowry and caste-based discrimination
- Need for fast-track courts and legal aid in rural areas
- Inadequate implementation of protective laws for Dalit women

(E) Outcome

With the help of a regional NGO, a writ petition was filed in the High Court demanding reinvestigation. The court ordered a Special Investigation Team (SIT). The case remains under judicial monitoring in 2025.

9. Identifying the Current Problems: Reporting, Social Stigma, and Delayed Legal Proceedings under the Dowry Prohibition Act (2025)

Despite decades of legislative reform and judicial interpretation, the **Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961**, continues to face systemic and social challenges in its implementation. In 2025, the dowry system persists not only as a form of economic transaction but as a deep-seated social expectation that operates beneath the surface of modern marriages—urban and rural alike.

1. Underreporting and Fear of Repercussion

One of the foremost problems in tackling dowry-related offences is **gross underreporting**. Women, particularly in semi-urban and rural settings, hesitate to lodge complaints due to:

- Fear of marital breakdown, social ostracism, or backlash from in-laws.
- Pressure from natal families to compromise or "adjust" for the sake of family honor.
- Emotional dependence and economic vulnerability, especially where women are homemakers with limited financial autonomy.
- Even in urban, educated circles, dowry demands have evolved into coded language—such as "gifts", "wedding contributions", or "help for settling down"—making it harder to legally prove coercion.

2. Social Stigma and Normalization of Abuse

Dowry is often socially justified as a custom or a gesture of goodwill, especially when demands are not overt. This has created an environment where:

- Many women internalize abuse as a part of married life.
- Victims are discouraged from speaking out due to fear of being labelled as “marriage breakers.”
- Community elders and even police personnel, especially in Tier 2 and 3 towns, advocate mediation over legal action, often brushing serious abuse under the carpet.
- Furthermore, victims who do report often face character assassination, with counter-allegations questioning their conduct, motives, or morality. This deeply entrenched victim-blaming culture discourages women from seeking justice.

3. Delayed Legal Proceedings and Procedural Gaps

Even when complaints are registered under:

- Section 85, Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (Cruelty by husband or relatives),
- Section 107, BNS (Dowry Death), or
- The Dowry Prohibition Act itself,
- victims face serious procedural roadblocks:

Justice Krishna Iyer:
“Dowry is not just about money, it is about humiliation and subjugation of the woman.”

Justice D.Y. Chandrachud:
“Law must speak to the lived realities of women, especially in domestic abuse cases.”

- Delays in FIR registration, often requiring multiple visits or political pressure.
- Lack of sensitivity among police and judicial officers, especially in understanding psychological abuse.
- Insufficient forensic and digital evidence handling, despite increasing cases involving WhatsApp messages or voice recordings.
- Burden of proof still heavily falls on the victim or her family, despite presumptions allowed under Section 119 of Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam (Presumption of dowry death).
- Once the case enters trial, the Indian legal system's slow pace adds to the trauma:
- Average dowry death trial time exceeds 5 years, with many witnesses turning hostile due to societal pressure.
- Bail is often granted early, leaving the survivor vulnerable to threats or retaliation.
- Lack of state-funded legal aid, shelter, or counselling delays effective access to justice.

4. Ineffectiveness of Preventive Mechanisms

Dowry prohibition officers, as mandated under the Act, are either not appointed in several districts or remain inactive due to lack of training and funding. Similarly:

- Marriage registration enforcement (mandatory disclosure of wedding gifts) is widely ignored.
- Monitoring bodies such as the Family Welfare Committees, which were introduced to screen complaints (as per Rajesh Sharma v. State of UP), are often non-functional or biased.

10. Solutions to the Current Dowry Problem: Bridging Law and Practice for Women's Safety and Dignity (2025)

Despite legal provisions and growing awareness, the dowry system continues to evolve into subtler forms, particularly in middle and upper-class families. It is no longer just a legal problem—it is a social failure reinforced by silence, social prestige, and economic inequality. The following solutions propose institutional reforms, grassroots strategies, and a cultural shift aimed at restoring dignity and safety for women.

1. **Legal Strengthening and Reform Measures**

a) Strengthen Preventive Enforcement

- Appoint and Empower Dowry Prohibition Officers in every district with clear mandates, protection powers, and digital complaint tracking.
- Mandate compulsory disclosure of wedding expenditures and gift registries, submitted at the time of marriage registration, to monitor financial coercion.
- Introduce tech-enabled FIR filing portals to ensure victims can report dowry harassment confidentially and swiftly.

b) Time-Bound Trial and Special Courts

- Set up Fast Track Special Courts specifically for dowry-related violence, dowry deaths, and cruelty under BNS Sections 85 and 107.
- Introduce a mandatory six-month timeline for recording evidence in dowry death cases to prevent delays and intimidation of witnesses.

c) Revise Burden of Proof Rules

Modify evidentiary rules under Section 119, Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam (2023) to accept digital proof such as chats, audio clips, or financial transactions, as valid indicators of coercion and harassment.

Legalize video-recorded statements of victims to ensure consistency and reduce trauma from repeated testimonies.

2. **Community-Based and Institutional Support**

a) Victim-Centered Support Systems

- Establish dowry help cells at every police station with trained female officers, psychologists, and social workers.
- Fund state-supported shelters for women facing dowry abuse, with legal aid, counseling, childcare, and vocational training facilities.

b) Incentivizing Zero-Dowry Marriages

- Provide tax incentives, housing benefits, or educational scholarships to couples who voluntarily declare and follow dowry-free marriages.
- Issue certificates of "Zero-Dowry Marriage" by the state as part of positive social branding, encouraging a cultural shift.

Smt. Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India (1995)
Highlighted the need for uniform laws to protect women from marital exploitation.
Satya Narayan Tiwari v. State of U.P. (2010)
Held that consistent cruelty before a woman's death can justify conviction for dowry death.
Kans Raj v. State of Punjab (2000)
Clarified that dowry death can be presumed when harassment occurs soon before death.

- Partner with schools, OTT platforms, influencers, and corporate CSR funds to run campaigns like “My Daughter Is Not for Sale” or “Celebrate Without Dowry.”

- Make dowry education part of school curriculum from secondary level onwards, emphasizing equality, consent, and dignity.

---

3. **Bridging the Gap Between Law and Society**

a) Community Legal Education

- Conduct paralegal literacy workshops in rural and semi-urban areas focusing on rights of women, reporting mechanisms, and case timelines.

- Introduce mobile legal vans with trained staff to visit remote regions and assist with dowry complaints, marital mediation, and legal aid.

b) Capacity Building for Law Enforcement

- Train police, judges, and prosecutors in gender sensitivity, handling psychological abuse, and interpreting indirect dowry demands.

- Introduce mandatory annual training programs on women’s rights and dowry law enforcement under the Judicial Academy syllabus.

---

4. **A Moral Message to Society: Rebuilding Respect Over Ritual**

The problem of dowry cannot be solved by legislation alone it requires a moral reset. Society must be reminded that:

Marriage is not a transaction it is a partnership of dignity. A daughter is not a burden. Her worth is not in gold or gifts, but in her education, values, and voice.

Families must reflect: Are we celebrating a union or negotiating a deal? Communities must ask: Are we complicit in a crime by remaining silent?

Social reformers, educators, and religious leaders must come forward to declare dowry unethical, not just illegal. Laws can punish offenders but only culture can prevent crimes.

Rajesh Sharma v. State of U.P. (2017)
Suggested creation of Family Welfare Committees to filter misuse of 498A (later modified).

Shobha Rani v. Madhukar Reddy (1988)
Defined dowry as unlawful gratification connected with marriage under Section 498A IPC.

11. Conclusion

Despite legal frameworks like the Dowry Prohibition Act and provisions under the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, dowry remains a persistent social evil in 2025, sustained by cultural pride, fear of social judgment, and patriarchal values. Laws can punish, but only cultural change can prevent. A realistic solution requires a multi-pronged approach: mandating zero-dowry declarations during marriage registration, offering state recognition and small incentives for dowry-free marriages, and establishing fast-track courts with digital evidence admissibility for timely justice. Community-based redressal cells and anonymous reporting systems must support victims sensitively. Morally, society must redefine honor, not in wealth exchanged, but in dignity preserved. Men should become allies, publicly rejecting dowry and setting examples. Public campaigns through films, influencers, and local leaders can challenge the deep-rooted normalization of dowry. Ultimately, ending dowry is not just a legal reform but a moral responsibility to build a society where a daughter is not seen as a burden, and marriages begin with respect, not negotiation. The future lies in raising a generation that finds pride in rejecting dowry, not demanding it.

12. References

- **The Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961** (as amended). Government of India.
- **Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.** Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India.
- **Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, 2023.** Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India.
- **National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB) Report, 2023 & 2024.** Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India. https://ncrb.gov.in
- **Arnesh Kumar v. State of Bihar**, (2014) 8 SCC 273.
- **Kans Raj v. State of Punjab**, (2000) 5 SCC 207.
- **Baijnath v. State of Madhya Pradesh**, (2016) 1 SCC 679.
- **Rajesh Sharma v. State of U.P.**, (2017) 11 SCC 265.
- **Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India**, (1995) 3 SCC 635.
- **UNICEF India (2023).** "Child Marriage and Dowry: Intersections of Social Harm." https://www.unicef.org/india
- **National Commission for Women (NCW) Reports, 2022–2024.** https://ncw.nic.in
- **Jaising, Indira.** *"Gender Justice in India: Legal and Social Perspectives,"* Oxford University Press, 2021.
- **Menon, Nivedita.** *Seeing Like a Feminist*, Penguin India, 2012.
- **Kumar, Ashok.** "Dowry Deaths: Relevance of Section 304B IPC," *Indian Bar Review*, Vol. 46, No. 2, 2020.
- **The Hindu Editorial**, "A Broken Law: Why Dowry Cases Fail," June 2024. https://www.thehindu.com